



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,623	09/28/2000	MINORU KUSAKABE	862.C2011	8731
5514	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			CARTER, TIA A	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/671,623	KUSAKABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tia A Carter	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. richary a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 3) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditio	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	-			
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-46 and 59-121</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>47-58</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 47-58 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment/e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/04; 4/6/04;	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 47-58 in the reply filed on 10 November 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims have sufficient overlap between the groups as to make prosecution of the application in its entirety. This is not found persuasive because claims 47-58 contain subject matter that does not rely upon the additional claims for the processing features cited. Claims 47-58 subject matter focuses on generating or creating dot patterns, halftoning specific regions in the image and processing the generated data as predetermined information for the image data to be processed.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant please note that upon further review, non-elected group 1 should be claims 1
24, 40, 59-67 and 91-121 and group 2 should be claims 25-39.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 52 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 52 has a typographical error; the "whrein" should be spelled "wherein". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,623 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 47-53 and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyabe et al. (US. 5606628).

Regarding claim 47, Miyabe et al. disclose an image processing apparatus, comprising:

generation means for (50) generating a plurality of types of second dot patterns corresponding to a first region smaller than a size of a first dot pattern representing predetermined information (fig 9a, col. 6, lines 11-38); and

embedding means (3) for selectively embedding the plurality of types of second dot patterns in units of first regions (fig. 9a, col. 6, lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 48, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim further comprising discrimination means (tempered document detector: col. 8, line 29) for discriminating characteristics of image information in the first region, wherein said embedding means selectively embeds the plurality of types of second dot patterns in

Art Unit: 2626

units of first regions in accordance with a discrimination result from said discrimination means (fig. 13a, col. 8, lines 24-67 and col. 9, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 49, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 47, wherein the second dot pattern is a dot pattern formed from a single line (fig. 11, col. 6, lines 25-32).

Regarding claim 50, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 47, wherein the first dot pattern patterns is formed by combining the second dot patterns (fig. 11, col. 6, lines 33-41).

Regarding claim 51, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 47, wherein said embedding means quantizes the first region to output quantized image information containing the second dot pattern (fig. 13, col. 7, lines 40-62).

Regarding claim 52, Miyabe et al. discloses the apparatus The apparatus according to claim 51, further comprising determination means (follow outline unit 10) for determining a quantization condition on the basis of the image information the first region, the predetermined information, and the second dot pattern, wherein wherein the formed by combining the second dot said embedding means quantizes the first region on the basis of the determined quantization condition (fig. 13, col. 7, lines 40-62).

Regarding claim 53, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 51, wherein the quantization is executed by pseudo-halftoning processing using error diffusion (fig. 11, col. 8, lines 56-67 and col. 9, lines 5-44).

Regarding claim 57, Miyabe et al. disclose an image processing method (figure 3), comprising:

plurality of types of second dot patterns corresponding to a first region smaller than a size of a first dot pattern representing predetermined information (fig 9a, col. 6, lines 11-38); and

an embedding step of selectively embedding the plurality of types of second dot patterns in units of first regions (fig. 9a, col. 6, lines 38-43).

Regarding claim 58, Miyabe et al. disclose a computer-readable memory (buffer 30), comprising:

a code for generating a plurality of types of second dot patterns corresponding to a first region smaller than a size of a first dot pattern representing predetermined information (fig. 3, col. 4, lines 9-22; fig 9a, col. 6, lines 11-38) and

a code for selectively embedding the plurality of types of second dot patterns in units of first regions (fig. 9a, col. 6, lines 38-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyabe et al. (US. 5606628) in view of Noguchi (US 2003/0007661).

Regarding claim 54, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 48.

Miyabe et al. do not disclose wherein said discrimination means discriminates a quantization error previously generated in the first region by said embedding means

Noguchi discloses wherein said discrimination means (102) discriminates a quantization error previously generated in the first region by said embedding means (fig. 8a, page 6, para. [0119-0120]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miyabe et al. wherein a logical loop is implemented to prevent encoding errors. This feature allows a secure document to be encoded properly preventing eyesight decryption.

Regarding claim 55, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 48.

Miyabe et al. do not disclose wherein said discrimination means discriminates a type the second dot pattern previously embedded by said embedding means

Noguchi disclose wherein said discrimination means discriminates a type the second dot pattern previously embedded by said embedding means (fig. 8a, page 6, para [0117]-[0119]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miyabe et al. wherein a logical loop is implemented to prevent encoding errors. This feature allows a secure document to be encoded properly preventing eyesight decryption.

Regarding claim 56, Miyabe et al. disclose the apparatus according to claim 48,

Miyabe et al. do not disclose wherein said embedding means inhibits embedding
the second dot pattern when is determined on the basis of the discrimination result from said discrimination means that the first region has a predetermined density.

Noguchi disclose wherein said embedding means inhibits embedding the second dot pattern when is determined on the basis of the discrimination result from said discrimination means that the first region has a predetermined density (fig. 8a, page 6, para [0117]-[0119]).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miyabe et al. wherein a logical loop is implemented to prevent encoding errors. This feature allows a secure document to be encoded properly preventing eyesight decryption.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kurumida (US. 6126342) and Ohshita (US. 5638463) are cited to show related art with respect to data compression.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tia A Carter whose telephone number is 703 - 306-5433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A Williams can be reached on 703-305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tia A Carter Examiner Art Unit 2626 Application/Control Number: 09/671,623

Art Unit: 2626

3/17/05

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED